What Others Think

Reconciling Rate Base and Capital Structure: The Balance Sheet Method

By Mark Cicchetti*

Under the rate base and rate of return approach of determining revenue requirements, the investment in plant and related items (rate base) is multiplied by the required overall rate of return (cost of capital) to produce the required net operating income. This required net operating income is then compared to the expected net operating income to determine, after an appropriate adjustment for taxes, the necessary revenue increase or decrease. Under this methodology, the revenue requirement will equal the total of: operations and maintenance expenses: depreciation; taxes; and the cost of capital invested in the rate base.

The reconciliation of the rate base and the capital structure is an integral, and often overlooked, segment of determining the required overall rate of return. The reasons why this is so, and an example of the balance sheet method of reconciling rate base and capital structure are presented in this article.

Rate of Return Calculation

Under the rate base and rate of return approach, the required rate of return is determined by multiplying the relative percentages of the capital structure components by their associated cost rates and then summing the weighted average costs. In this way, the relative cost contribution associated with each capital structure component is recognized. Figure 1 illustrates a simple cost of capital calculation.

It is obvious that any change in the relative percentage of a capital structure component or to its associated cost rate will change the

'Staff member, auditing and financial analysis, Florida Public Service Commission. Views expressed are the author's and do not necessarily reflect those of the Florida Public Service Commission.

,	Fig	ure 1		
C	ost of Capi	tal Calci	ulation	
	Amount	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Average Cost
Long-term Debt Short-term Debt Preferred Stock Common Equity	\$ 400 50 200 350 \$1,000	40% 5 20 35 100%	10% 8 9 15	4.0% 4 1.8 <u>5.25</u> 11.45%

weighted cost of the component and therefore change the required overall rate of return. The purpose of the reconciliation of rate base and capital structure is to determine what per cent of the jurisdictional rate base each capital structure component supports. The per books relative percentages of the capital structure components may not be appropriate due to rate base adjustments that can be traced to a specific source (or sources) of funds or to regulatory philosophy.

Reconciling Rate Base and Capital Structure

Under the balance sheet method. rate base is defined as: net plant in service; property held for future use: construction work in progress; and working capital. Capital structure is defined as the funds used by the company to support the rate base. By definition, the rate base and the capital structure are equal. This is shown in Figures 2 and 3. Figure 2 is a sample balance sheet and Figure 3 shows the various accounts related to the rate base and the capital structure based on the sample balance sheet.

By combining similar items, the rate base and capital structure can be presented as shown in Figure 4.

As adjustments are made to remove items from the rate base, corresponding adjustments must be made to the capital structure to

keep the rate base and capital structure in balance. If a pro-rata adjustment is made to the capital structure (an adjustment to each capital structure component in proportion to its relative weight) there is no change in the required overall rate of return. However, if an adjustment is made to a specific capital structure component, the relative percentages change and the required overall rate of return changes.

Pro-rata Reduction versus Tracing Funds

Sources of particular funds are readily traceable but the uses of particular funds are not. Debt, equity, deferred taxes, and other capital structure components are all sources of funds available to a company and readily traceable to their source (the bond issue, the shares of stock, the plant purchased, et cetera). The funds made available to a company are placed in a cash account, or if you will, the cash register. When a dollar is taken out of the cash account or cash register, one cannot tell whether one is holding an equity dollar or a debt dollar, or some other kind of dollar. Therefore, the sources of particular funds are traceable but the uses of particular funds are not.

The capital structure of a utility company represents the funds used by the company to finance the rate base. When it is determined that an

Figure 2
Sample Balance Sheet

Line Acc	ount lo.	 → Account Name 	Company Total Per Books (000)		
2 1 3 1 4 1	01 05 106 107 108	Utility Plant Electric Plant in Service Electric Plant Held for Future Use Completed Construction not classified — Electric* Construction Work in Progress — Electric Accum. Prov. for Depr. of Elec. Utility Plant Accum. Prov. for Amort. of Elec. Utility Plant	\$ 1,124,498 18,669 315,305 (316,409) (285) 1,141,778		
10	121 122 128	Other Property and Investments Nonutility Property Accum. Prov. for Depre. of Nonutility Property Other Special Funds	307 (34) 1 274		
15 16 17 18 19 20	125 131 134 135 136 141 142 143 144 145 146 151 152 153 154 156 163 165 171 173	Current and Accrued Assets Sinking Funds Cash. Other Special Deposits Working Funds Temporary Cash Investments Notes Receivable Customer Accounts Receivable Other Accounts Receivable Accum. Provision for Uncollectible Accounts Notes Receivable from Associated Companies Accounts Receivable from Associated Companies Fuel Stock Fuel Stock Expenses Undistributed Residuals Plant Materials and Operating Supplies Other Materials and Supplies Stores Exp. Undistributed Prepayments Interest and Dividends Receivable Unbilled Revenue Receivable	99 6,918 182 146 0 0 53.840 7,149 (530) 0 128 67,474 0 0 26.294 34 12 1,105 2,372 13,248 173,640		
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	181 182 183 184 186 188 190	Deferred Debits Unamortized Debt Expense Extraordinary Property Loss Preliminary Survey and Investigation Clearing Accounts Deferred Debits Research and Development Expenditures Deferred Income Tax Total Assets and Other Debits	4,608 226 1,405 110 4,195 49 2,498 13,091 \$ 1,333,574		
*Included i	n 101.		•		

asset should be removed from rate base, it is logical to want to remove the cost associated with financing the asset from the capital structure. However, should we remove the cost from equity? debt? deferred taxes? Since we cannot trace which funds were used to purchase the asset, it is only logical (and fair) to remove the item from the capital structure on a pro-rata basis. By making pro-rata adjustments, the rel-

ative percentages of the capital structure components remain intact and we get as accurate a reflection as possible of the cost of capital.

There is a school of thought that believes although the dollar cannot be traced, it is desirable to trace the benefits. In other words, all customer deposits, investment tax credits, and deferred taxes should be fully reflected in the jurisdictional capital structure. In addition to vio-

lating the tracing of funds conthis method does not allow the ity company to recover its a capital costs. This may result i company underearning and it sible higher future capital cost actly the opposite of what the losophy set out to accomplish

Although most adjustment cannot be traced to a spesource (or sources) of funds, be desirable to adjust a sp

	Sample Balance Sheet — (Continued)	
Line Acco	7,000,0116	Company Total Per Books (000)
	Proprietary Capital	
1 20 2 20 3 20 4 20	Common Stock Issued Preferred Stock Issued Capital Stock Subscribed	\$ 119,697 84,956 0
5 210 6 21 7 214	Gain on Resale or Cancel, of Reacq. Capital Stock Miscellaneous Paid in Capital	19 28 154,932
8 216 9		(1,589) <u>182,586</u> 540,629
10	Long-term Debt	
11 211 12 225 13		407.953 695 408.648
1		408,548
14 15 231	Current and Accrued Liabilities	
16 232 17 233	Accounts Payable	65,790 20,754 0
18 234 19 235 20 236	Accounts Payable to Associated Companies Customer Deposits	4,823 14,756
21 237 22 238		5.003 10,369 4,136
23 241 24 242 25	Tax Collections Payable Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Liabilities	2.292 9.000
		136,923
26 27 253	Deferred Credits Other Deferred Credits	
28 255 29	Accumulated Deferred Investment Tax Credit	18,094 66,059 84,153
30	Operating Reserve	
31 262 32	Injuries and Damages Reserves	1,026 1,026
33	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	
34 281	Accum. Deferred Income Tax — Amort. Property	7,543
35 282 36 283	Accum. Deferred Income Tax — Other Property Accum. Deferred Income Tax — Other	110.914 43.738
37		162,195
38	Total Liabilities and Other Credits	\$ 1,333,574

source (or sources) of funds for accounting or regulatory purposes. For example, an item that is being recovered through a separate clause should have all its effects removed from the balance sheet. This would affect particular accounts and therefore particular capital structure components. Deferred taxes is the capital structure component most often affected by this type of adjustment.

If a regulatory authority desires to minimize any possible negative effects to ratepayers resulting from a utility financing nonutility property or nonregulated subsidiary, then all nonutility property and nonregulated subsidiary should be removed from the capital structure directly from equity. (This may increase the required return on equity.)

Also, if a regulatory authority decides to use the effective cost rates for the various capital structure components in calculating the required overall rate of return, then consistency dictates that items such as unamortized debt expense and

prepaid interest on short-term debt be removed from long-term debt and short-term debt, respectively, and their cost rates adjusted accordingly.

Since the purpose of removing an item from a specific capital structure component is to remove the effect of that item from the capital structure, all pro-rata adjustments should be made using ratios calculated after the adjustments to specific capital structure components have been made.

Figure 3

Relation of Accounts to Rate Base and
Capital Structure

Rate Acct. No.	Base Amount	Capita Acct. No.	Structure Amount
101 105 107 108 111 121 122 128 125 131 134 135 142 143 144	\$ 1.124.498 18.669 315.305 (316.409) (285) 307 (34) 1 59 6.918 182 146 53.840 7.149 (530) 128	201 204 207 210 211 214 216 211 225 231 235 255 281 282 283 190	\$ 119,697 84,956 19 28 154,932 (1,589) 182,586 407,953 695 65,790 14,756 66,059 7,543 110,914 43,738 (2,498)
151 154	67,474 26,294	Total	\$ 1,255,579
156 163 165 171 173 182 183 184 186 188 232 234 236 237 238 241 242 253 262 181	34 12 1,105 2,372 13,248 226 1,405 110 4,195 49 (20,754) (4,823) (5,003) (10,369) (4,136) (2,292) (9,000) (18,094) (1,026) 4,608		

Figure 4

Rate Base

Net Plant in Service	808.078
CWIP - No AFUDC	315,305
Plant held for future use	18,669
Working Capital	113,527
-	1,255,579

Capital Structure

Long-term Debt	408,648
Short-term Debt	65,790 ·
Preferred Stock	84,956
Customer Deposits	14,756
Common Equity	455,673
Tax Credits - O Cost	3,272
Tax Credits - WTD. Cost	62,787
Accumulated Def. Inc. Taxes	159,697
	1.255.579

Jurisdictional Separation Factors

For rate base items, the jurisdictional separation factors represent the per cent of the system amount that is jurisdictional. For capital structure purposes the jurisdictional separation factor is simply a plug number that maintains the relative capital structure component percentages when going from a system amount to a jurisdictional amount as shown in Figure 5.

If a regulatory authority decides that the jurisdictional separation factor for customer deposits should be 100 per cent, then the capital structure jurisdictional separation factor is calculated as shown in Figure 6.

Conclusion

The reconciliation of rate base and capital structure is an integral part of determining the required overall rate of return. Since the required overall rate of return directly affects the revenue requirement, examining the logic behind capital structure adjustments is time well spent. A several basis point change in the required overall rate of return can mean a difference of several million dollars to a large utility.

By using the balance sheet method of reconciling the rate base and capital structure, one can analyze, on a step-by-step basis, the adjustments made to rate base and how they affect the capita structure and required overall rate of return. A sample rate base and capital structure reconciliation, with a limited number of adjustments, is presented in Figure 7. All accountance assumed to be 100 per cen jurisdictional.

Figures 5, 6, and 7 follow on next page.

	Figure 5		
	Rate Base		
Net Plant in Service CWIP — No AFUDC Plant Held for Future Use Working Capital	System Amount \$ 808,078 315,305 18,669 113,527 \$1,255,579	Jurisdictional Separation Factor .81115 .95025 .98000 .99000 .864764	Jurisdictional Amount \$ 655,472 299,619 18,296 112,392 \$1,085,779
	Capital Struc	ture	
	Amount	Jurisdictional Separation Factor	Jurisdictional Amount
Long-term Debt Short-term Debt Preferred Stock Customer Deposits Common Equity Tax Credits — O Cost Tax Credits — WTD Cost Accumulated Def. Inc. Taxes	\$ 408,648 65,790 84,956 14,756 455,673 3,272 62,787 159,697 \$1,255,579	.864764 .864764 .864764 .864764 .864764 .864764 .864764	\$ 353,384 56,893 73,467 12,760 394,049 2,830 54,296 138,100 \$1,085,779

Calculation of Jurisdictional Amount
Long-term Debt

Figure 7 Sample Reconciliation (\$000)								
	Amount Per Books 808.078	Non- Utility -273	Unamort. Debt Expense	Prepaid Interest Short- Term Debt	· CWIP	Adjusted Amount 807,805	Rate Base Adjustments	–273
Net Plant in Service CWIP - No AFUDC	315,305	-213			-129.159		Unamor, Debt. Ex. Pré, Int. Std.	-4.608 -392
Plant Held for Future Use Working Capital	18.669 113.527 1,255,579	-273	-4.608 -4.608	<u>-392</u> -392	-129,159	18.669 108.527 1.121.147	CWIP	-129,159
Component Long-term Debt Short-term Debt Preferred Stock Customer Deposits	3,272 62,787 159,697	elity Expe -4.	ebt Terr ense Det	est rt- n ot Amor 404, 2 65, 84, 14, 455, 3, 62,	040 32.3 398 5.2 956 6.7 756 1.1 400 36.4 272 0.2 787 5.0 697 12.7	153 -41 306 -6 948 -8 802 -1 231 -47 617 -6 726 -16	.738 362,302 32.3153 .756 58,643 5.2306 .776 76,180 6.7948 .524 13,232 1.1802 .044 408,356 36.4231 -338 2,934 0.2617 .486 56,301 5.0217 .497 143,200 12.7726	Weighted Average Cost Cost Rate Rate 9.89% 3.1960% 7.96 0.4164 9.00 0.6155 7.88 0.0930 15.00 5.4635 0.00 0.0000 10.30 0.5171 0.00 0.0000 10.2974%